

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/717,518	11/21/2003	Gert Kim Jensen		034896-0104	9588
7	590 05/04/2005			EXAM	INER
Foley & Lardner				GRUNBERG, ANNE MARIE	
Suite 500 3000 K Street,	N.W.			· · ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, I	OC 20007-5109		٠.,	1661	
		.5		DATE MAILED: 05/04/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/717,518	JENSEN, GERT KIM					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Anne Marie Grunberg	1661					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	<u> 23 November 2004</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for all	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	6) Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.						
· <u> </u>							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attached Office	e Action of form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
200 the diagnost detailed embe design for a list of the defining deploy not received.							
Attachment(s) A) Notice of References Cited (PTO 802)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:							
raper racionista ato	5)						

Application/Control Number: 10/717,518

Art Unit: 1661

DETAILED ACTION

The text of those sections of Title 35 U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claim 1 remains rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first and second paragraphs, for the reasons stated below.

Applicant's amendment dated 11/23/04 failed to set forth at least the following:

A. The new campanula plant is a product of a planned mutant selection and monitoring program and the new campanula originated from a mutation found by the inventor. At the bottom of the first page of the specification, it further states "The inventor selected the *Campanula* cultivar form the progeny of the above cross..." What above cross? No cross has been described. It appears that the plant was simply the product of a random mutation. In order to clarify this, the cross needs to be specified or this information should be deleted if inaccurate. Additionally, it is unclear if this plant was formed by an induced mutation or a random mutation. Finally, whether the plant was a whole plant mutation or a sport should be set forth as well.

Note: No rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) was made because no publication was found describing the parent 'Marion Fischer'. It is old and well known in the art that subjecting plants to mutagens creates plants that are more compact than the parent plant. However, it would not

Art Unit: 1661

have been obvious to subject the variety 'Marion Fischer' to mutagens given that 'Marion Fischer' was not described in a publication more than one year prior to filing the present plant patent application.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anne Marie Grünberg whose telephone number is 571-272-0975. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur, 7:00 am to 4:30 pm and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Wang can be reached on 571-272-0811. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,518

Art Unit: 1661

Page 4

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-1600.

WINE MARIE GRUNBERG